

Decision Support System for Determining the Best Nurse at RSUD Porsea Using the Simple Additive Weighting Method

Rafael Situmorang¹, Louise Simarmata²

^{1,2} Universitas Potensi Utama, Jl. KL. Yos Sudarso Km. 6,5 No. 3-A, Medan, Indonesia
e-mail: Rafael_situmorang96@gmail.com

Abstract

A decision support system is a combination of individual intelligence sources with component capabilities to improve decision quality. Decision support system is also a computer-based information system for decision-making management that handles semi-structured problems. The purpose of this study was to create an application for nurse employees at RSUD Porsea. Based on the results of the research that has been discussed, the Decision Support System for Determining the Best Nurse at RSUD Porsea using the Simple Additive Wiegthing (SAW) method with the benefit of being able to make it easier to process nurse data and make it easier to determine the best nurse for a nurse promotion at RSUD Porsea.

Keywords: *Decision Support System, Best Nurse, Simple Addite Weighting*

1. Introduction

Various fields of work use computer technology to manage data, improve service quality and produce a series of information that is accurate and as expected. In addition, computer technology is able to increase company profits in making a decision.

Decision Support System (DSS) is a model-based system consisting of procedures for data processing and considerations to assist in making decisions. To be successful in achieving its objectives, the system must be simple and easy to control. It is important that these systems be computer based and used in addition to the problem solving capabilities of a person. DSS aims to provide information, guide, predict and direct information users to make better decisions.

Grand Medistra Hospital officially changed its name to RSUD Porsea starting April 19, 2017. RSUD Porsea is a private hospital that has referrals for health services for doctors and people in need. HR is one of the important factors of an organization, institution or company. HR in the form of humans who are employed in an organization as movers, thinkers and planners to achieve the goals of the organization. Therefore, the management of nursing human resources is very important in supporting the implementation of effective and good nursing so as to improve the degree of public health.

Nurses as one of the important assets in the implementation of health facilities, apart from being paramedics to treat patients, nurses are the ones who are most often in direct contact and automatically always actively communicate with patients. In determining the best nurse previously, RSUD Porsea had previously carried out the factors before making a decision, namely skills, discipline, leadership, and cooperation. Therefore, there are several problems encountered by the hospital, such as the process used manually so that it takes a long time to get the results of determining the best nurse. Therefore, RSUD

Porsea needs a way to determine the best nurse. One of the appropriate methods of the problem of determining the best nurse, The author uses the SAW method to assist in determining the best nurse. As for some of the problems obtained by the author related to the determination of the best nurse, such as the absence of a computerized application in determining the best nurse, the absence of a calculation method in determining the best nurse.

2. Method

The SAW (Simple additive weighting) method is a simple and classic multi-criteria decision-making method. This method is included in the weighting method or known as addition. The steps in the SAW method are as follows:

1. Determine the criteria that will be used as a reference in making decisions, namely: C_j .
2. Determine the weight for each criterion $W = [W_1, W_2, W_3, \dots, W_J]$.
3. Normalizing the decision matrix by performing a comparison process on all existing alternative values, the normalization formula is:
 R_{ij} = normalized performance rating value.
 X_i = attribute value owned by each criterion.
 $\text{Max } x_{ij}$ = the largest value of each criterion.
 $\text{Min } x_{ij}$ = the smallest value of each criterion.
 Benefit = if the greatest value is the best.
 Cost = if the smallest value is the best.
4. Calculates the preference value for each alternative. V_i is given as $V_i = \sum_{j=1}^n W_j r_{ij}$
 V_i = rank for each alternative
 w_j = weighted value of each criterion r_{ij} = value of normalized performance rating A
 greater value of V_i indicates that alternative A_i is more selected.

3. Results and Discussion

Based on the results of the observations that the author made on the data of nurses, the authors made an analysis of the calculations using the data of nurses. From a number of nurses at RSUD Porsea, only 5 people were taken as an example to apply the simple additive weighting method in determining the best nurse.

Table 1.
Nurse Data

NIK	Nurse name	J. Gender	Address	Task
2487	Sandro adam fahlevi ancient, AMK	Man	Graveyard	Single
2486	Jupianto kican simatupang, AMK	Man	Graveyard	Single
2485	Remika turnip, AMK	Woman	Graveyard	Marry
2351	Risma Kartika Sari, S.Kep	Woman	T. morawa	Single

2497	Ramadhan syahputra, S.Kep, NS	Woman	Graveyard	Marry
2499	Safia, AMK	Woman	Graveyard	Marry
400	Nurzaini, AMK, S.Kep, NS	Woman	Quiz rod	Single
1430	Lilyani, S. Kep, NS	Woman	Quiz rod	Marry
619	Lolita simanjuntak, S. Kep, NS	Woman	Graveyard	Marry
306	Ria Rismawati, S. Kep, NS	Woman	Graveyard	Marry
1945	Evy Pionika, S.Kep, NS	Woman	Quiz rod	Single
2505	Lily beautiful princess, AMK	Woman	Graveyard	Single
1954	Hoirul alfan, S, Kep, NS	Woman	Graveyard	Single
2492	Jenda wants to wake up, AMK	Woman	Graveyard	Marry
2472	Mutiara Tarigan, Amd. Keb	Woman	Graveyard	Single
1194	Anni kholila, AMK, S.Kep, NS	Woman	Graveyard	Single
2162	Sartika Dewi Asnita S.kep, NS	Woman	Graveyard	Single
1768	Fitriyani, AMK	Woman	Quiz rod	Single
289	Nurhayati harahap, AMK, S.Kep, NS	Woman	Quiz rod	Marry
196	Nurlena saragih, AMK, S. Kep, NS	Woman	Quiz rod	Single

Table 2.
Crips Value (Sub Criteria)

Criteria	Information	Crips	Score
C1	Skills	Skilled	40
		Well	30
		Enough	15
		Less skilled	10
		Very less	5
C2	Discipline	Discipline	40
		Well	30
		Enough	15
		Lack of discipline	10
		Very less	5
C3	Leadership	Very good	40
		Well	30
		Enough	15
		Not enough	10
		Very less	5
C4	Cooperation	Very good	40

Well	30
Enough	15
Not enough	10
Very less	5

1. Determine the alternative, namely Ai.

Table 3.
Alternative Value

NIK	Name	Score			
		Skills (C1)	Discipline (C2)	Leadership (C3)	Cooperation (C4)
2351	Risma Kartika Sari, S.Kep	Enough	Enough	Enough	Enough
400	Nurzaini, Amk, S. Kep, Ns	Enough	Enough	Well	Enough
1945	Evy Pionika, Skep, Ns	Skilled	Well	Very good	Very good
1194	Anni kholila, Amk, S.Kep.Ns.	Enough	Well	Well	Enough
2162	Sartika Dewi Asnita S.Kep,	Enough	Enough	Well	Enough

2. Determining the suitability of each alternative on each criterion for determining the best nurse.

Table 4.
Twig Match On Each Alternative

Alternative	Criteria			
	C1	C2	C3	C4
Risma Kartika Sari, S.Kep	15	15	15	15
Nurzaini, Amk, S. Kep, Ns	15	15	30	15
Evy Pionika, Skep, Ns	40	30	40	40
Anni kholila, Amk, S.Kep.Ns.	15	30	30	15
Sartika Dewi Asnita Sihombing, S.Kep, Ns	15	15	30	15

3. Determine the weight for each criterion.

Table 5.
Weight For Each Criterion

Criteria	Information	Weight
C1	Skills	20
C2	Discipline	20
C3	Leadership	40

$$W = 20 + 20 + 40 + 20 = 100$$

4. Calculate the normalization value of each alternative with the formula:

$$\sum r_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij}}{\text{Max}x_{ij}}$$

Information:

- R_{ij} = value of normalized performance rating
- X_{ij} = attribute value owned from each criterion
- Max X_{ij} = the largest value of each criterion
- Benefit = greatest value is best

Table 6.
Criteria Type

Criteria	Criteria type	Information
Skills	Profit	Always needed anytime
Discipline	Profit	On time to work
Leadership	Profit	Responsible at work
Cooperation	Loss	Team cohesiveness is needed

Normalization for criteria can be seen as follows.

a. For skill criteria

$$r_{11} = \frac{15}{\text{Max}\{15,15,40,15,15\}} = \frac{15}{40} = 0,375$$

$$r_{21} = \frac{15}{\text{Max}\{15,15,40,15,15\}} = \frac{15}{40} = 0,375$$

$$r_{31} = \frac{40}{\text{Max}\{15,15,40,15,15\}} = \frac{40}{40} = 1$$

$$r_{41} = \frac{15}{\text{Max}\{15,15,40,15,15\}} = \frac{15}{40} = 0,375$$

$$r_{51} = \frac{15}{\text{Max}\{15,15,40,15,15\}} = \frac{15}{40} = 0,375$$

b. For disciplinary criteria (C2)

$$r_{12} = \frac{15}{\text{Max}\{15,15,30,30,15\}} = \frac{15}{30} = 0,5$$

$$r_{22} = \frac{15}{\text{Max}\{15,15,30,30,15\}} = \frac{15}{30} = 0,5$$

$$r_{32} = \frac{30}{\text{Max}\{15,15,30,30,15\}} = \frac{30}{30} = 1$$

$$r_{42} = \frac{30}{\text{Max}\{15,15,30,30,15\}} = \frac{30}{30} = 1$$

$$r_{52} = \frac{15}{\text{Max}\{15,15,30,30,15\}} = \frac{15}{30} = 0,5$$

c. For leadership criteria (C3)

$$r_{13} = \frac{15}{\text{Max}\{15,30,40,30,30\}} = \frac{15}{40} = 0,375$$

$$r_{23} = \frac{30}{\text{Max}\{15,30,40,30,30\}} = \frac{30}{40} = 0,375$$

$$r_{33} = \frac{40}{\text{Max}\{15,30,40,30,30\}} = \frac{40}{40} = 1$$

$$r_{43} = \frac{30}{\text{Max}\{15,30,40,30,30\}} = \frac{30}{40} = 0,375$$

$$r_{53} = \frac{30}{\text{Max}\{15,30,40,30,30\}} = \frac{30}{40} = 0,375$$

d. For cooperation criteria (C4)

$$r_{14} = \frac{15}{\text{Min}\{15,15,40,15,15\}} = \frac{15}{15} = 1$$

$$r_{24} = \frac{15}{\text{Min}\{15,15,40,15,15\}} = \frac{15}{15} = 1$$

$$r_{34} = \frac{15}{\text{Min}\{15,15,40,15,15\}} = \frac{15}{40} = 0,375$$

$$r_{45} = \frac{15}{\text{Min}\{15,15,40,15,15\}} = \frac{15}{15} = 1$$

$$r_{54} = \frac{15}{\text{Min}\{15,15,40,15,15\}} = \frac{15}{15} = 1$$

3. The results of normalization are made in the form of a normalization matrix as below:

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0.375 & 0.5 & 0.375 & 1 \\ 0.375 & 0.5 & 0.75 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0.75 \\ 0.375 & 1 & 0.75 & 1 \\ 0.375 & 0.5 & 0.75 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Calculating Preference Values For Each Alternative.

And then the $W * R$ matrix multiplication and the addition of the multiplication results are made to obtain the best alternative by ranking the largest values as follows:

$$V_i = \sum_{j=1}^n W_j r_{ij}$$

$$W = [20 \quad 20 \quad 40 \quad 20]$$

The preference values for determining the ranking results are as follows:

$$V_1 = (20 \times 0.375) + (20 \times 0.5) + (40 \times 0.375) + (20 \times 1) = 52.5$$

$$V_2 = (20 \times 0.375) + (20 \times 0.5) + (40 \times 0.75) + (20 \times 1) = 67.5$$

$$V_3 = (20 \times 1) + (20 \times 1) + (40 \times 1) + (20 \times 0.75) = 87.5$$

$$V_4 = (20 \times 0.375) + (20 \times 1) + (40 \times 0.75) + (20 \times 1) = 77.5$$

$$V_5 = (20 \times 0.375) + (20 \times 0.5) + (40 \times 0.75) + (20 \times 1) = 67.5$$

From the results of the multiplication of the $W * R$ matrix obtained, the final result of the largest decision value is as follows:

Table 7.
 Preference value

Alternative	The final result
Evy Pionika, Skep, Ns	87.5
Anni kholila, Amk, S.Kep.Ns.	77.5
Sartika Dewi Asnita Sihombing, S.Kep, Ns	67.65
Nurzaini, Amk, S. Kep, Ns	67.56
Risma Kartika Sari, S.Kep	52.5

4. Conclusion

Based on the results of the Decision Support System for Determining the Best Nurse at RSUD Porsea using the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) method, it can be used as one of the media in determining the best nurse at RSUD Porsea. With this application, determining the best nurse can be done quickly, precisely, looks better and structured

References

- Abdul Rozag, Raden Kelik Hardinto, Annurrahman, Dewi Susanti, "Information System for Payment of Additional Income Based on Workload at the Education and Culture Office of Tanah Bumbu Regency" *Journal of Information Systems and Technology*, 2018, 4(1), 45.
- Aditya, Alan Nur. 2011. *Master PHP & MySQL in Minutes*. Jakarta: Computer World.
- Arief M. Rudianto. 2011. *Dynamic Web Programming Using PHP and MySQL*. ANDI OFFSET CV. Yogyakarta.
- Edi Ismanto and Noverta Effendi, "Employee Admission Decision Support System Using Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) Method", *SATIN – Informatics Science and Technology*, 2017, 3(1), 2-3.
- Fanny Andalia, Eko Budi Setiawan, "Development of an Information System for Processing Job Seeker Data at the Padang City Social and Manpower Office" *Scientific Journal of Computers and Informatics*, 2015, 4(2), 93-94.
- Frieyadie, "Application of the Simple Additive Weighting (SAW) Method in a Promotion Decision Support System" *Journal of Pilar Nusa Mandiri*, 2016, 12(1), 41.
- Iswanto Gobel, Julianus Ake, Budu, "Relationship Between Reward Satisfaction and Implementation of Job Performance Assessment Policies with Nurses' Performance in Implementing Nursing Care" *JST Health*, 2014, 4(4), 361-362.

- Kusrini. 2017. Concepts and Applications of Decision Support Systems. (Edition 1), Yogyakarta: ANDI.
- Murni, M., & Bosker, S. 2018. Decision Support System for Assessment of Learning Outcomes Using the Topsis Method. Jl. Prof. T. Zulkarnain No. 3 Medan, North Sumatra: CV. Mayang Room.
- Sri Wahyuni, Niken Wahyu Ning Tiyas, "Design and Build a Decision Support System for Giving Employee Awards Using the AHP Method at PT Bumi Cikarang Steel Industries" SIMANTIK Informatics Journal, 2017, 2(2), 32.
- Wilkinson, Judith M. 2011. Nursing Diagnosis. (edition 9), Jakarta: EGC.
- Yusuf Wahyudi, Suwarni, Andayani, "Decision Support System for the Appointment of Civil Servants, in Structural Positions at the Bengkulu Provincial Personnel Agency" Journal of Media Infotama, 2013, 9(1), 192-192.